

**Yu.G. Dmitriev, G.M. Koshkin**

**ESTIMATION OF PRESENT VALUE OF WHOLE LIFE ANNUITY  
USING INFORMATION ABOUT EXPECTATION OF LIFE**

*This research was supported by "The Tomsk State University competitiveness improvement programme" under grant No. 8.1.37.2018.*

The paper deals with the estimation problem of the actuarial present value of the continuous whole life annuity using auxiliary information about the expectation of life. Nonparametric estimators of life annuity are constructed by individuals' death moments. It is shown that the usage of such auxiliary information can often provide the mean squared error (MSE) smaller than that of standard estimators. An adaptive estimator is also proposed. The asymptotic normality of all these estimators is proved.

**Keywords:** nonparametric estimation; whole life annuity; auxiliary information; mean squared error; asymptotic normality.

The idea of life annuity in accordance with [1. P. 170] is this: from the moment  $t = 0$  an individual once a year begins to get a certain money, which we take as the unit of money, and payments are made only for the lifetime of an individual. It is known that the calculation of the characteristics of life annuity is based on the characteristics of the respective type of insurance. Thus, the average total cost of the present continuous annuity is defined by the following formula (see [1. P. 184]):

$$\bar{a}_x(\delta) = \frac{1 - \bar{A}_x}{\delta},$$

where  $\bar{A}_x$  is a net premium (the average of the present value of a single sum of money in the insurance lifetime at the age  $x$ ),  $\delta$  is a force of interest. Let  $x$  be an individual's age on the moment of payments start,  $X$  be his lifetime,  $T_x = X - x$  be his future lifetime. Let us introduce the random variable

$$z(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-\delta T_x}}{\delta}, T_x > 0. \tag{1}$$

Then, by averaging the random variable  $z(x)$  (1), we get the formula of the whole life annuity (see [2–4]):

$$\bar{a}_x(\delta) = E(z(x)) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{S(x)} \right), \tag{2}$$

where  $E$  is the symbol of the mathematical expectation,  $S(x) = P(X > x)$  is a survival function,

$$\Phi(x, \delta) = e^{\delta x} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-\delta t} dF(t),$$

$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = 1 - S(x)$  is a distribution function.

**1. Estimation of Annuity**

Suppose we have a random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  of  $N$  individuals' lifetimes. Now, separately estimate the numerator and denominator in (2). The substitution of unknown function  $S(x)$  for its nonparametric estimator

$$S_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I}(X_i > x),$$

where  $\mathbf{I}(A)$  is the indicator of an event  $A$ , gives us the following estimators of the whole life annuity:

$$\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{e^{\delta x}}{S_N(x) \cdot N} \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\delta X_i) \mathbf{I}(X_i > x) \right) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} \right), \quad (3)$$

$$\Phi_N(x, \delta) = \frac{e^{\delta x}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\delta X_i) \mathbf{I}(X_i > x).$$

## 2. Bias and MSE of $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)$

In this section, we will obtain the principal term of the asymptotic MSE and the bias convergence rate of the estimator (3). Now introduce the notation according to [5]:  $t_N = (t_{1N}, t_{2N}, \dots, t_{sN})^T$  is an  $s$ -dimensional vector with components  $t_{jN} = t_{jN}(x) = t_{jN}(x; X_1, \dots, X_N)$ ,  $j = \overline{1, s}$ ,  $x \in R^\alpha$ ,  $R^\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Euclidean space;  $H(t): R^s \rightarrow R^1$  is a function, where  $t = t(x) = (t_1(x), \dots, t_s(x))^T$  is an  $s$ -dimensional bounded vector function;  $N_s(\mu, \sigma)$  is the  $s$ -dimensional normally distributed random variable with a mean vector and covariance matrix  $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ ;  $\nabla H(t) = (H_1(t), \dots, H_s(t))^T$ ,  $H_j(t) = \frac{\partial H(z)}{\partial z_j} \Big|_{z=t}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, s}$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  is the symbol of convergence in distribution (weak convergence);  $\|x\|$  is the Euclidean norm of a vector  $x$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the set of natural numbers.

**Definition 1.** The function  $H(t): R^s \rightarrow R^1$  and the sequence  $\{H(t_N)\}$  are said to belong to class  $N_{v,s}(t; \gamma)$ , provided that:

1) there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood

$$\sigma = \{z: |z_i - t_i| < \varepsilon, i = \overline{1, s}\},$$

in which the function  $H(z)$  and all its partial derivatives up to order  $v$  are continuous and bounded;

2) for any values of variables  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  the sequence  $\{H(t_N)\}$  is dominated by a numerical sequence  $C_0 d_N^\gamma$ , such that  $d_N \uparrow \infty$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$ .

**Theorem 1 [5].** Let the conditions

1)  $H(z)$ ,  $\{H(t_N)\} \in N_{2,s}(t; \gamma)$ ,

2)  $E\|t_N - t\|^i = O(d_N^{-i/2})$

hold for all  $i \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Then, for every  $k \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,

$$\left| E[H(t_N) - H(t)]^k - E[\nabla H(t) \cdot (t_N - t)]^k \right| = O(d_N^{-(k+1)/2}). \quad (4)$$

Note, if in formula (4)  $k = 1$ , we obtain the principal term of the bias for  $H(t_N)$ , and at  $k = 2$ , we have the principal term of the MSE.

**Theorem 2.** If  $S(x) > 0$  and  $S(t)$  is continuous at  $x$ , then

1) for the bias of (3), the following relation holds:

$$\left| b(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)) \right| = \left| E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) \right| = O(N^{-1});$$

2) the MSE of (3) is given by the formula

$$u^2(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)) = E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta))^2 = \frac{\Phi(x, 2\delta) - \Phi^2(x, \delta) / S(x)}{N\delta^2 S^2(x)} + O(N^{-3/2}).$$

**Proof.** For the estimator  $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)$  (3) in the notation of Theorem 1, we have:  $s = 2$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} t_N &= (t_{1N}, t_{2N})^T = (\Phi_N(x, \delta), S_N(x))^T; \quad d_N = N; \quad t = (t_1, t_2)^T = (\Phi(x, \delta), S(x))^T; \\ H(t) &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{t_1}{t_2} \right) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{S(x)} \right) = \bar{a}_x(\delta); \quad H(t_N) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} \right) = \bar{a}_x^N(\delta); \\ \nabla H(t) &= (H_1(t), H_2(t))^T = \left( -\frac{1}{\delta S(x)}, \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{\delta S^2(x)} \right)^T \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $\{H(t_N)\}$  satisfies the condition 1) of Theorem 1 with  $C_0 = \frac{2}{\delta}$  and  $\gamma = 0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |H(t_N)| &= \frac{1}{\delta} \left| 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{\delta x} \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\delta X_i) \mathbf{I}(X_i > x)}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I}(X_i > x)} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{e^{\delta x} e^{-\delta x} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I}(X_i > x)}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I}(X_i > x)} \right) = \frac{2}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, the function  $H(t)$  satisfies the condition 1) in view of  $t_2 = S(x) > 0$ , the condition 2) due to Lemma 3.1 [6], provided that  $E\{\mathbf{I}^i(X > x)\} = S(x) \leq 1$ ,  $E\{e^{i\delta x} e^{-i\delta X} \mathbf{I}^i(X > x)\} \leq e^{i\delta x} e^{-i\delta x} S(x) = S(x) \leq 1$  for all  $i \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

We know that  $S_N(x)$  is an unbiased and consistent estimator of  $S(x)$ . Show that  $\Phi_N(x, \delta)$  is an unbiased estimator of the functional  $\Phi(x, \delta)$ :

$$E\Phi_N(x, \delta) = \frac{e^{\delta x}}{N} E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \exp(-\delta X_i) \mathbf{I}(X_i > x) \right\} = \Phi(x, \delta).$$

Now, calculate the variance of  $\Phi_N(x, \delta)$ :

$$D\Phi_N(x, \delta) = D \left\{ \frac{e^{\delta x}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{I}(X_i > x) e^{-\delta X_i} \right\} = \frac{e^{2\delta x}}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N D \left\{ \mathbf{I}(X_i > x) e^{-\delta X_i} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left( \Phi(x, 2\delta) - \Phi^2(x, \delta) \right).$$

The ratio of two unbiased estimators can have a bias. Considering that all the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled and  $E(t_N - t) = 0$ , in accordance with (4) we get the order of the bias of  $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)$ :

$$\left| E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) - E[\nabla H(t)(t_N - t)] \right| = \left| E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) \right| = O(N^{-1}).$$

Find the components of the covariance matrix  $\sigma(\bar{a}_x(\delta)) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  for the statistics  $\Phi_N(x, \delta), S_N(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{11} &= ND\{\Phi_N(x, \delta)\} = \Phi(x, 2\delta) - \Phi^2(x, \delta); \quad \sigma_{22} = ND\{S_N(x)\} = S(x)(1 - S(x)); \quad \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \\ &= N \operatorname{cov}(S_N(x), \Phi_N(x, \delta)) = N \left( E\{S_N(x)\Phi_N(x, \delta)\} - E\{S_N(x)\}E\{\Phi_N(x, \delta)\} \right) = (1 - S(x))\Phi(x, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Using the previous results on the bias and the covariance matrix, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^2(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)) &= E[\nabla H(t)(t_N - t)]^2 + O(N^{-3/2}) = \frac{\tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta))}{N} + O(N^{-3/2}), \\ \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta)) &= H_1^2(t)\sigma_{11} + H_2^2(t)\sigma_{22} + 2H_1(t)H_2(t)\sigma_{12} = \frac{\Phi(x, 2\delta) - \Phi^2(x, \delta) / S(x)}{\delta^2 S^2(x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The proof is completed.

### 3. Asymptotic Normality of $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta)$

To find the limit distribution of (3), we need the following two Theorems.

**Theorem 3** (The usual central limit theorem) [7, Appendix 5]. If  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N, \dots$  is a sequence of independent and identically distributed  $s$ -dimensional vectors,  $E\{\xi_k\} = 0$ ,  $\sigma(x) = E\{\xi_k^T \xi_k\}$ ,  $t_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k$ , then, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sqrt{N}t_N \Rightarrow N_s(0, \sigma(x))$ .

**Theorem 4** (asymptotic normality of  $H(t_N)$ ) [2]. Let

- 1)  $\sqrt{N} \cdot t_N \Rightarrow N_s\{\mu, \sigma(x)\}$ ;
- 2)  $H(z)$  be differentiable at the point  $\mu$ ,  $\nabla H(\mu) \neq 0$ .

Then

$$\sqrt{N}(H(t_N) - H(\mu)) \Rightarrow N_1 \left[ \sum_{j=1}^s H_j(\mu) \mu_j, \sum_{p=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^s H_j(\mu) \sigma_{jp} H_p(\mu) \right].$$

**Theorem 5.** Under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$\sqrt{N}(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) \Rightarrow N_1 \left[ 0, \frac{\Phi(x, 2\delta) - \Phi^2(x, \delta) / S(x)}{\delta^2 S^2(x)} \right].$$

**Proof.** In the notation of Theorem 3, we have  $s = 2$ ,  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(\bar{a}_x(\delta))$ . Thus,

$$\sqrt{N} \{(\Phi_N(x, \delta), S_N(x)) - (\Phi(x, \delta), S(x))\} \Rightarrow N_2((0, 0), \sigma(\bar{a}_x(\delta))).$$

The function  $H(z)$  is differentiable at the point  $t = (\Phi(x, \delta), S(x))$  and  $\nabla H(t) \neq 0$ . Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 4 hold, and using (5), we have  $\sqrt{N}(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) \Rightarrow N_1(0, \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta)))$ .

Theorem 5 is proved.

### 4. Construction of Estimators Using Expected Lifetime

Suppose we know the expected lifetime

$$EX = a. \tag{6}$$

The estimator by making use of such information according to [8–17] can be taken in the following form:

$$\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} - \lambda(\bar{x} - a) \right), \tag{7}$$

where  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$  is an estimator of  $a$ , parameter  $\lambda$  we will find minimizing the principal term of the asymptotic MSE of  $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda)$  (7). The estimator (7) combines the available empirical information containing in (3) and prior information (6).

For the estimator  $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda)$  in the notation of Theorem 1, we have:  $s = 3$ ,

$$t_N = (t_{1N}, t_{2N}, t_{3N})^T = (\Phi_N(x, \delta), S_N(x), \bar{x})^T; \quad d_N = N; \quad t = (t_1, t_2, t_3)^T = (\Phi(x, \delta), S(x), a)^T;$$

$$H(t) = H(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{t_1}{t_2} - \lambda(t_3 - a) \right) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{S(x)} - \lambda(a - a) \right) = \bar{a}_x(\delta);$$

$$H(t_N) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} - \lambda(\bar{x} - a) \right) = \bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda);$$

$$\nabla H(t) = (H_1(t), H_2(t), H_3(t))^T = \left( -\frac{1}{\delta S(x)}, \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{\delta S^2(x)}, -\frac{\lambda}{\delta} \right)^T \neq 0.$$

### 5. Bias and MSE of $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda)$

Arguing as in Section 1, it is easy to show that the sequence  $\{H(t_N)\}$  satisfies the condition 1) of Theorem 1 with  $C_0 = \frac{2+|\lambda|(\omega+a)}{\delta}$ ,  $\omega < \infty$  is the limiting age, and  $\gamma = 0$ ; also, the statistic  $t_N$  satisfies the condition 2) due to Lemma 3.1 [6], provided that  $EX^i \leq \omega^i < \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathfrak{R}$ . From here, for the bias of (7) we obtain the following result:

$$\left| E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) - E[\nabla H(t)(t_N - t)] \right| = \left| E(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)) \right| = \left| b(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda)) \right| = O(N^{-1}).$$

Now, find the covariance matrix  $\sigma(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda)) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}$  for statistics  $\Phi_N(x, \delta)$ ,  $S_N(x)$ ,  $\bar{x}$ :

$$\sigma_{33} = ND\{\bar{x}\} = D(X); \quad \sigma_{13} = \sigma_{31} = N \text{cov}(\bar{x}, \Phi_N(x, \delta)) = C_1(x, \delta) - a\Phi(x, \delta);$$

$$\sigma_{23} = \sigma_{32} = N \text{cov}(S_N(x), \bar{x}) = C_2(x) - aS(x), \quad \text{where } C_1(x, \delta) = e^{\delta x} \int_x^\infty e^{-\delta u} u dF(u), \quad C_2(x) = \int_x^\infty u dF(u), \quad \text{and } \sigma_{11},$$

$\sigma_{12}$ ,  $\sigma_{21}$ ,  $\sigma_{22}$  are defined in Section 1. Using (5), the above results for the bias and the covariance matrix  $\sigma(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda))$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} u^2(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda)) &= E[\nabla H(t)(t_N - t)]^2 + O(N^{-3/2}) = \frac{\tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda))}{N} + O(N^{-3/2}), \\ \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda)) &= \sum_{p=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 H_j(t) \sigma_{jp} H_p(t) = H_1^2(t) \sigma_{11} + H_2^2(t) \sigma_{22} + H_3^2(t) \sigma_{33} + 2H_1(t)H_2(t) \sigma_{12} + \\ &+ 2H_1(t)H_3(t) \sigma_{13} + 2H_2(t)H_3(t) \sigma_{23} = \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta)) + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_{33}}{\delta^2} - \frac{2\lambda H_1 \sigma_{13}}{\delta} - \frac{2\lambda H_2 \sigma_{23}}{\delta} = \\ &= \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta)) + \lambda^2 Q_1 - 2\lambda Q_2, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $Q_1 = \frac{\sigma_{33}}{\delta^2} > 0$ ,  $Q_2 = \frac{H_1 \sigma_{13} + H_2 \sigma_{23}}{\delta}$ . Then the minimum of  $\tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda))$  with respect to  $\lambda$  is achieved at  $\lambda_0 = \frac{Q_2}{Q_1}$ . Such  $\lambda_0$  minimizes the principal term of MSE  $u^2(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda))$ , and this minimum is as follows:

$$\frac{\tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda_0))}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \left( \tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta)) - \frac{Q_2^2}{Q_1} \right) < \frac{\tilde{C}(\bar{a}_x(\delta))}{N}. \tag{9}$$

### 6. Bias, MSE, and Asymptotic Normality of $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0)$

In accordance with (9), the estimator

$$\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} - \lambda_0(\bar{x} - a) \right) \tag{10}$$

will be called the optimal (in the mean square sense) estimator. The non-negative quantity  $\frac{Q_2^2}{NQ_1}$  in (9) determines the decrease of the principal term of MSE for the optimal estimator by using auxiliary information (6).

**Theorem 6.** If  $S(x) > 0$  and  $S(t)$  is continuous at  $x$ , then

1) for the bias of (10), the following relation holds:

$$\left| b(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0)) \right| = O(N^{-1});$$

2) the MSE of (10) is given by the formula

$$u^2\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0)\right) = E\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)\right)^2 = \frac{\tilde{C}\left(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda_0)\right)}{N} + O\left(N^{-3/2}\right),$$

where  $\tilde{C}\left(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda_0)\right)$  is defined by the formula (9).

**Theorem 7.** Under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)\right) \Rightarrow N_1\left(0, \tilde{C}\left(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda_0)\right)\right).$$

**Proof.** The statements of Theorems 6 and 7 follow from Theorems 1 and 4 with the usage of the arguments of Sections 3–5.

## 7. Adaptive Estimator

The statistic  $\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0)$  can be used as an estimator for  $\bar{a}_x(\delta)$  if we know  $\lambda_0$ ; otherwise, it is required to construct an adaptive estimator. We need a more detailed formula for  $\lambda_0$ :

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{S(x)DX} \left[ \frac{\Phi(x, \delta)}{S(x)} (C_2(x) - aS(x)) - C_1(x, \delta) + a\Phi(x, \delta) \right]. \quad (11)$$

Using (11), we consider the following adaptive estimator:

$$\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \hat{\lambda}_0) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} - \hat{\lambda}_0(\bar{x} - a) \right) \quad (12)$$

with

$$\hat{\lambda}_0 = \frac{1}{s^2 S_N(x)} \left[ \frac{\Phi_N(x, \delta)}{S_N(x)} (\hat{C}_2(x) - aS_N(x)) - \hat{C}_1(x, \delta) + a\Phi_N(x, \delta) \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{x})^2$  is an unbiased estimator of the variance  $DX$ ,

$$\hat{C}_2(x) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i I(X_i > x), \quad \hat{C}_1(x, \delta) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-\delta X_i} X_i I(X_i > x).$$

**Theorem 8.** Under the conditions of Theorem 2,

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \hat{\lambda}_0) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)\right) \Rightarrow N_1\left(0, \tilde{C}\left(\bar{a}_x(\delta, \lambda_0)\right)\right).$$

**Proof.** The following equality holds:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \hat{\lambda}_0) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(\bar{a}_x^N(\delta, \lambda_0) - \bar{a}_x(\delta)\right) + R_N,$$

where  $R_N = \delta^{-1}(\lambda_0 - \hat{\lambda}_0)\sqrt{N}(\bar{x} - a)$ . All the estimators, used in (13), converge almost surely to their true values according to the strong law of large numbers (the Second Theorem of Kolmogorov [18]). Thus, from the First Continuity Theorem of Borovkov [7], estimator  $\hat{\lambda}_0$  converges almost surely to  $\lambda_0$ . Based on the central limit theorem  $\sqrt{N}(\bar{x} - a) \Rightarrow N_1(0, DX)$ , we retrieve  $R_N \Rightarrow 0$ . Now, the statement of Theorem 8 is proved by making use of Theorem 7.

## Conclusion

The paper deals with the problem of estimating the present values of the continuous whole life annuity using auxiliary information about the expectation of life. It is shown that the usage of such auxiliary information can often provide the MSE smaller than that of standard estimators. We proved the results on asymptotic properties of the proposed estimators: unbiasedness, consistency and normality. Also, the main parts of the asymptotic MSEs of the estimators were found. An adaptive estimator is constructed; such estimator is equivalent (in the sense of asymptotic distribution) to the estimator with the optimal weight coefficient  $\lambda_0$ .

Note that the improved estimators of life annuities (3), (10) and (12) can be obtained by substituting of empirical survival functions by the smooth empirical survival functions (cf. [19–32]).

#### REFERENCES

1. Falin, G.I. (2002) *Mathematical foundations of the theory of life insurance and pension schemes*. Moscow: Ankil Publ.
2. Koshkin, G.M. & Gubina, O.V. (2016) Estimation of the present values of life annuities for the different actuarial models. *Proc. The Second International Symposium on Stochastic Models, in Reliability Engineering, Life Science, and Operations Management*. SMRLO 2016. Beer Sheva, Israel, February 15–18, 2016. Conference Publishing Services The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 2016. pp. 506–510.
3. Bowers, N., Gerber, H., Hickman, J., Jones, D. & Nesbitt, C. (1986) *Actuarial mathematics*. Itasca: Society of Actuaries.
4. Gerber, H. (1997) *Life insurance mathematics*. 3rd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.
5. Koshkin, G.M. (1999) Deviation moments of the substitution estimator and its piecewise smooth approximations. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*. 40(3). pp. 515–527. DOI: 10.1007/BF02679759
6. Ibragimov, I.A. & Khasminskii, R.Z. (1981) *Statistical estimation: asymptotic theory*. Berlin-New York: Springer.
7. Borovkov, A.A. (1998) *Mathematical statistics*. New York: Gordon & Breach.
8. Dmitriev, Yu.G. & Koshkin, G.M. (1987) On the use of a priori information in nonparametric regression estimation. *IFAC Proc. Series*. 2. pp. 223–228.
9. Dmitriev, Yu.G. & Tarasenko, P.F. (2014) The use of a priori information in the statistical processing of experimental data. *Russian Physics Journal*. 35(9). pp. 888–893. DOI: 10.1007/BF00560063
10. Dmitriev, Yu.G., Tarassenko, P.F. & Ustinov, Y.K. (2014) On estimation of linear functional by utilizing a prior guess. In: Dudin, A., Nazarov, A., Yakupov, R., Gortsev, A. (eds) *Information Technologies and Mathematical Modelling. ITMM 2014. Communications in Computer and Information Science*. Vol 487. Springer, Cham. pp. 82–90.
11. Dmitriev, Yu.G. & Koshkin, G.M. (2017) On distribution functionals estimation with auxiliary information. *Applied Methods of Statistical Analysis*. pp. 9–18.
12. Dmitriev, Yu.G. & Koshkin, G.M. (2018) Nonparametric estimators of probability characteristics using unbiased prior conditions. *Statistical Papers*. pp. 1559–1575. DOI 10.1007/s00362-018-1044-7.
13. Levit, B.Ya. (1975) Conditional estimation of linear functionals. *Problems of Information Transmission*. 1(4). pp. 291–302.
14. Owen, A.B. (1991) Empirical likelihood for linear models. *The Annals of Statistics*. 19. pp. 1725–1747.
15. Qin, J. & Lawless, J. (1994) Empirical likelihood and general estimating equations. *The Annals of Statistics*. 22. pp. 300–325.
16. Tarima, S. & Pavlov, D. (2006) Using auxiliary information in statistical function estimation. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*. 10. pp. 11–23. DOI: 10.1051/ps:2005019
17. Zhang, B. (1995) M-estimation and quantile estimation in the presence of auxiliary information. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. 44. pp. 77–94. DOI: 10.1016/0378-3758(94)00040-3
18. Rao, C.R. (1965) *Linear statistical methods and its applications*. New York: Willey.
19. Nadaraya, E.A. (1964) Some new estimates of distribution function. *Theory of Probability and its Applications*. 9(3). pp. 497–500. DOI: 10.1137/1109069
20. Azzalini, A. (1981) A note on the estimation of a distribution function and quantiles by a kernel method. *Biometrika*. 68(1). pp. 326–328. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/68.1.326
21. Reiss, R.-D. (1981) Nonparametric estimation of smooth distribution functions. *Scand. J. Statist.* 8. pp. 116–119.
22. Falk, M. (1983) Relative efficiency and deficiency of kernel type estimators of smooth distribution functions. *Statistica Neerlandica*. 37. pp. 73–83. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9574.1983.tb00802.x
23. Swanepoel, J.W.H. (1988) Mean integrated squared error properties and optimal kernels when estimating a distribution function. *Communication in Statistics – Theory and Methods*. 17(11). pp. 3785–3799. DOI: 10.1080/03610928808829835
24. Jones, M.C. (1990) The performance of kernel density functions in kernel distribution function estimation. *Statistics and Probability Letters*. 9(2). pp. 129–132. DOI: 10.1016/0167-7152(92)90006-Q
25. Shirahata, S. & Chu, I.S. (1992) Integrated squared error of kernel-type estimator of distribution function. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*. 44(3). pp. 579–591. DOI: 10.1007/BF00050707
26. Sarda, P. (1993) Smoothing parameter selection for smooth distribution functions. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. 35. pp. 65–75. DOI: 10.1016/0378-3758(93)90068-H
27. Altman, N. & Leger, C. (1995) Bandwidth selection for kernel distribution function estimation. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. 46. pp. 195–214. DOI: 10.1016/0378-3758(94)00102-2
28. Bowman, A., Hall, P. & Prvan, T. (1998) Trust bandwidth selection for the smoothing of distribution functions. *Biometrika*. 85(4). pp. 799–808.
29. Chu, I.S. (1995) Bootstrap smoothing parameter selection for distribution function estimation. *Math. Japon.* 41(1). pp. 189–197.
30. Shao, Y. & Xiang, X. (1997) Some extensions of the asymptotics of a kernel estimator of a distribution function. *Statistics & Probability Letters*. vol. 34. pp. 301–308.
31. Una-Alvarez, J., Gonzalez-Manteiga, W. & Cadarso-Suarez, C. (2000) Kernel distribution function estimation under the Koziol–Green model. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*. 87. pp. 199–219. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-3758(99)00192-5

32. Koshkin, G.M. (2014) Smooth estimators of the reliability functions for non-restorable elements. *Russian Physics Journal*. 57(5). pp. 672–681. DOI: 10.1007/s11182-014-0290-y

Received: March 29, 2018

Dmitriev Yu.G., Koshkin G.M. (2018) ESTIMATION OF PRESENT VALUE OF WHOLE LIFE ANNUITY USING INFORMATION ABOUT EXPECTATION OF LIFE. *Vestnik Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Upravlenie vychislitel'naja tehnika i informatika* [Tomsk State University Journal of Control and Computer Science]. 45. pp. 22–29

DOI: 10.17223/19988605/45/3

Дмитриев Ю.Г., Кошкин Г.М. ОЦЕНИВАНИЕ ПОЖИЗНЕННОЙ РЕНТЫ С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ ИНФОРМАЦИИ О СРЕДНЕЙ ПРОДОЛЖИТЕЛЬНОСТИ ЖИЗНИ. *Вестник Томского государственного университета. Управление, вычислительная техника и информатика*. 2018. № 45. С. 22–29.

Рассматривается проблема оценивания актуарной непрерывной пожизненной ренты с использованием дополнительной информации о средней продолжительности жизни. По данным продолжительностей жизни индивидуумов строятся непараметрические оценки пожизненной ренты. Показано, что использование дополнительной информации приводит к среднеквадратической ошибке, меньшей, чем у стандартной оценки. Также предлагается адаптивная оценка. Показано, что адаптивная оценка эквивалентна в смысле асимптотического распределения оптимальной оценке. Доказана асимптотическая нормальность всех оценок.

Ключевые слова: непараметрическая оценка; пожизненная рента; дополнительная информация; среднеквадратическая ошибка, асимптотическая нормальность.

*DMITRIEV Yury Glebovich* (Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Head of the Department of Theoretical Cybernetics of National Research Tomsk State University, Russian Federation).

E-mail: dmit70@mail.ru

*KOSHKIN Gennady Mikhailovich* (Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor of the Department of Theoretical Cybernetics of National Research Tomsk State University, Russian Federation).

E-mail: kgm@mail.tsu.ru