

165.12+168.52+551

DOI:

10.15372/PS20170105

• •

V.A. Mironov**THE CHARACTERISTIC OF GEOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE FROM
THE STANDPOINT OF NARRATOLOGY**

The paper considers geological knowledge from the point of view of narration methodology developed initially for studying and constructing historical and literary texts. The consideration of geology, which is a natural-science discipline, from the perspective of humanitarian methodology enables to explain peculiarities of creation of geological theories about the past of our planet. In turn, such an explanation becomes a basis for solving the problem of the discrepancy of geological methods to criteria of experimental natural sciences. In addition, such an approach enables to define which information is important for geological knowledge and to define in what form the concepts of geological past are presented.

Keywords: geology, narrative, epistemology, narrative sentences, methodology of narration, philosophy of geology, philosophy of science.

« »,

XIX ..
 « » XX . « » XIX ..
 XIX ..
 XIX ..
 [,1867, .20].
 XIX ..
 1860-
 : «...
 » [, . 13].

» [, . 191].

,

— . «

»

,

«

,

» [Frodeman, 1995, . 965].

XIX . - ,

«

» [Buckland, 2010] XIX .

« XIX » [Ibid., p. 1]

,

« XIX », «

(. - .)

» [Ibid., . 3].

XIX .

« (.- . .)» [Ibid., .15].

« » [2002].

200, . 15]. « » [, . 15].

« » [, c. 188].

()

« » [, 69],- « » . . .

: «

» [, 2013, c. 553].

1

2

» [, 2002, . 137].

» [, . 129].

:«

1

2

» [, . 139].

... :« ».

(. - . .), » [, . 144].

:«

» [, . 144].

:

?

1. . . . – 2002. – 292 .
2. . . . – 4- . . . – 2013. – 704 .
3. . . . – 2004. – 272 .
4. . . . – 1970. – 266 .
5. . . . i « ».– 1867. – 149 .
6. . . . // : « », « ».– 1998. – 175–217.
7. . . . « ».– 2008. – 416 .
8. . . . – 2- – 2008. – 304 .
9. *Buckland A.* Losing the Plot: the Geological Anti-Narrative // 19: Interdisciplinary Studies in the Long Nineteenth Century. – 2010. – 1–15 pp. – URL: <http://www.19.bbk.ac.uk/articles/10.16995/ntn.578/> (: 25.01.2016).
10. *Frodeman R.* Geological reasoning: Geology as an interpretive and historical science.// Geological Society of America Bulletin 107. – 1995. – P. 959-968. – URL: <http://www.bryn-mawr.edu/geology/documents/Geologyscientificmethod.pdf> (: 25.01.2017).

References

1. *Danto A.* Analiticheskaya filosofiya istorii. – Perevod s angl. A.L. Nikiforova, O.V. Gavrishinoi – M: Ideya-Progress. – 2002. – 292 s. (In Russian)
2. *Karlovich I.A.* Geologiya: uchebnoe posobie dlya vuzov.. – 4-e izd., ispr. – M.: Akademicheskii proekt; Gaudeamus. – 2013. – 704 s. (In Russian)
3. *Mart'yanov N.E.* Razmyshleniya o pul'satsiyakh Zemli. – Krasnoyarsk: KNIIGiMS. – 2004. – 272 s. (In Russian)
4. *Pukhlyakov, L.A.* Obzor geotektonicheskikh gipotez. – Tomsk: Izd. TGU. – 1970. – 266 s. (In Russian)
5. *Pedzh D.* Filosofiya geologii. – SPb.: «N. Tiblen i komp.». – 1867. – 149 s. (In Russian)
6. *Rikkert G.* Filosofiya istorii // Filosofiya zhizni. – Kiev: «Nika-TSentr», «Vist-S», 1998. – S. 175-217. (In Russian)
7. *Khain V.E., Ryabukhin A.G., A.A. Naimark.* Istoriya i metodologiya geologicheskikh nauk. M.: «Izdatsel'skii centr "Akademiya"». – 2008. – 416 s. (In Russian)
8. *Shmid V.* Narratologiya. – 2-e izd., ispr. i dop. – M.: Yazyki slavyanskoi kul'tury. – 2008. – 304 s. (In Russian)
9. *Buckland A.* Losing the Plot: the Geological Anti-Narrative. //19: Interdisciplinary Studies in the Long Nineteenth Century. – 2010. – 1–15 pp. – URL: <http://www.19.bbk.ac.uk/articles/10.16995/ntn.578/>. (data obrashcheniya: 25.01.2017).

10. *Frodeman R.* Geological reasoning: Geology as an interpretive and historical science // Geological Society of America Bulletin 107; – 1995. – P. 959–968.

URL: <http://www.brynmawr.edu/geology/documents/Geologyscientificmethod.pdf> (data obrashcheniya: 25.01.2017)

(634050, . . . , . 36, e-mail: mironovv@mail2000.ru

Information about the author

Mironov Vasily Anatolyevich – National Research Tomsk State University (36, Lenin av., Tomsk, 634050, Russia, e-mail: mironovv@mail2000.ru)

16.02.2017